



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,806	03/30/2004	Siva G. Narendra	INTEL-0038	2840
34610 7590 03/24/2008 KED & ASSOCIATES, LLP P.O. Box 221200 Chantilly, VA 20153-1200				
EXAMINER				
BOATENG, ALEXIS ASIEDUA				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2838				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/24/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/811,806		Applicant(s) NARENDRA ET AL.	
	Examiner ALEXIS BOATENG		Art Unit 2838	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ALEXIS BOATENG. (3) _____.

(2) Samuel Ntiros. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Watanabe (U.S. 2001/0054881).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The attorney disclosed that the invention involves boosting a the voltage of ultracapacitor not of an external DC voltage as disclosed in paragraph [0069] of Watanabe. The attorney proposed to amend the claims so that they reflect this to overcome the reference. The examiner agreed that the proposed amendments appears to overcome the Watanabe reference and that further search would be necessary.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Bao Q. Vu/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2838
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.